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Historical background

- entanglement - a relationship or involvement that compromises the participants
- quantum entanglement - introduced by E. Schrödinger ("entanglement of predictions")

E. Schrödinger, *Die gegenwärtige Situation in der Quantenmechanik*
Naturwissenschaften 23: pp. 807-812; 823-828; 844-849 (1935)
http://www.tu-harburg.de/rzt/rzt/it/QM/cat.html

- existence of two-particle states $\Psi_{AB} \neq \phi_A \otimes \chi_B$
- properties of individual systems seems to be senseless in such cases
- strange "correlations" of predictions between experiments on individual particles
Einstein-Podolski-Rosen problem

- **realism** = ability of deterministic predictions require that the state possess the property before the measurement, i.e. even without the measurement

- **locality** = no instantenuous actions, i.e. operations on system A does not affect the properties of system B instantenuously, and vice versa

- **EPR requirement** every theory must satisfy such conditions

- two half-spins in state $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle_A \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_B - |\downarrow\rangle_A \otimes |\uparrow\rangle_B)$

- **fact**: measuring $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes I_B$ determines outcomes of $I_A \otimes \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ with certainty if $\vec{b} = \vec{a}$

- **local realism** $\Rightarrow$ spin $B$ must possess the property “having spin $\vec{a}$” before the measurement, or we must consider existence of instantenuous nonlocal action
**Einstein-Podolski-Rosen problem**

- Two half-spins in state $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle_A \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_B - |\downarrow\rangle_A \otimes |\uparrow\rangle_B)$

- **Local realism** $\Rightarrow$ spin $B$ must possess the property “having spin $\vec{a}$” before the measurement, or we must consider existence of instantaneous nonlocal action

- 1st BUT: choice of $\vec{a}$ is arbitrary and can be decided after the state is created
- **Local realism** $\Rightarrow$ spin is determined in **all** directions

- 2nd BUT: QT description $\Rightarrow$ spin can be determined **at most in one** direction !!!

- **EPR conclusion** $\rightarrow$ quantum state description is incomplete and allows **spooky actions** at a distance

- Alternative: **local hidden variables** predicting individual outcomes

- EPR believed that such theory is possible
**Bell inequalities**

- local realistic model: \( A(\vec{a}, \lambda), B(\vec{b}, \lambda) \in \pm 1 \) and \( \langle \vec{a} \otimes \vec{b} \rangle = \int d\lambda \varrho(\lambda) A(\vec{a}, \lambda) B(\vec{b}, \lambda) \)
- \( \lambda \) is the hidden parameter, or set of parameters
- knowledge of \( \lambda \) \( \Rightarrow \) ability to make deterministic predictions for all measurements
- local hidden variable model
  \[
  B_{LHV} = \left| \langle \vec{a} \otimes (\vec{b} + \vec{b}') + \vec{a}' \otimes (\vec{b} - \vec{b}') \rangle \right| \\
  = \left| \int d\lambda A(\vec{a}, \lambda)[B(\vec{b}, \lambda) + B'(\vec{b}', \lambda)] + A'(\vec{a}', \lambda)[B(\vec{b}, \lambda) - B'(\vec{b}', \lambda)] \right| \\
  \leq \int d\lambda \left| A(\vec{a}, \lambda)[B(\vec{b}, \lambda) + B'(\vec{b}', \lambda)] + A'(\vec{a}', \lambda)[B(\vec{b}, \lambda) - B'(\vec{b}', \lambda)] \right| \\
  \leq 2 \\
  \]
- quantum theory prediction for singlet
  \[
  B_{QM} = \left| \langle \vec{a} \otimes (\vec{b} + \vec{b}') + \vec{a}' \otimes (\vec{b} - \vec{b}') \rangle \right| = \left| -\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} + \vec{b}') - \vec{a}' \cdot (\vec{b} - \vec{b}') \right| \\
  = 2\sqrt{2} > 2 \geq B_{LHV} \\
  \]
- QM violates the LHV model constraints given by Bell inequality
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Pure states entanglement

- entanglement: difference between classical and quantum
  - feature of quantum state necessary in violation of BI, nonexistence of LHV model
- definition: pure state $|\Phi\rangle_{AB}$ is entangled if and only if $|\Phi\rangle_{AB} \neq |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\chi\rangle_B$
- Schmidt decomposition: (important tool)
  \[
  |\Phi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \sqrt{\lambda_j} |e_j\rangle_A \otimes |f_j\rangle_B \tag{3}
  \]
  where $\langle e_j | e'_j \rangle = \delta_{jj'}$, $\langle f_j | f'_j \rangle = \delta_{jj'}$ and $\lambda_j$ are positive and sum up to unity. Hence all states are locally unitary equivalent to states $|\Psi\rangle_{AB} = a|00\rangle + b|11\rangle = (U_A \otimes U_B)|\Phi\rangle_{AB}$.
- $\vec{\lambda}_\Phi = (\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{d-1})$ is the vector of Schmidt numbers ordered decreasingly, i.e. $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{d-1}$.
- what about mixed states?
Concept of LOCC operations

• central notion describing specific manipulation of physical systems
• LOCC = local operations (local measurements, local Hamiltonians) and classical communication

• for classical states:
  - all states are closed under LOCC operations, i.e. for all probability distributions \( \pi(a, b), \pi'(a, b): \pi \leftrightarrow \pi' \) by means of LOCC
  - all classical operations are LOCC type

• for pure quantum states:
  - factorized states are closed under LOCC operations
  - entangled pure states can be transformed into factorized states

• LOCC-based partial ordering
  \( \varrho \succ \omega \) if there exists \( E_{\text{LOCC}} \) such that \( E_{\text{LOCC}}[\varrho] = \omega \)
Entanglement for mixed states

- LOCC-based partial ordering: $\rho \triangleright \omega$ if there exists $E_{\text{LOCC}}$ such that $E_{\text{LOCC}}[\rho] = \omega$

- **separable states** $S_{\text{sep}}$
  - def 1: set of LOCC-smallest states
  - def 2: convex hull of factorized states, i.e. $\rho = \sum_j p_j |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j| \otimes |\chi_j\rangle \langle \chi_j|$.  
  - closed under LOCC operations
  - every state can be transformed into arbitrary separable state

- **entangled states**: complement of the set of separable states, i.e. $S_{\text{ent}} = S(\mathcal{H}) \setminus S_{\text{sep}}$

- formal definition: a state $\rho$ is entangled if and only if it cannot be written in the form

$$\rho \neq \sum_j p_j |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j| \otimes |\chi_j\rangle \langle \chi_j|$$
Maximally entangled states

• definition: states from which all states can be prepared by deterministic LOCC
• alternatively, largest element(s) with respect to LOCC ordering
• is/are there such state/states? if yes, are they LOCC related?
• sufficient to prove for pure states, because mixed states are just classical distributions over pure states, i.e. can be prepared by means of LOCC
Maximally entangled pure states

• definition: states from which all states can be prepared by deterministic LOCC

• pure states: $|\Psi\rangle \rightarrow |\Phi\rangle$ iff $\lambda_{\Psi} < \lambda_{\Phi}$ (majorization criterion), i.e. $\sum_{j=0}^{J} \lambda_{\Psi}^{j} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{J} \lambda_{\Phi}^{j}$ for all $J = 0, \ldots, d - 1$.

• maximally entangled pure state $\lambda_{j}^{\Psi} = 1/d$ for all $j$, i.e. $|\Psi_{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{j} |j\rangle_{A} \otimes |j\rangle_{B}$.

• preparation of $|\Psi\rangle = a|00\rangle + b|11\rangle$:
  1. addition of ancilla $|0\rangle_{A'} \otimes |\Psi_{+}\rangle_{AB}$
  2. local unitary operation $|00\rangle_{AA'} \rightarrow a|00\rangle_{AA'} + b|11\rangle_{AA'}$, $|01\rangle_{AA'} \rightarrow b|01\rangle_{AA'} + a|10\rangle_{AA'}$ resulting in state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ |0\rangle_{A'} \otimes (a|00\rangle_{AB} + b|11\rangle_{AB}) + |1\rangle_{A'} \otimes (b|10\rangle_{AB} + a|01\rangle_{AB}) \right]$
  3. measurement $|0\rangle_{A'} \otimes I_{AB} - |1\rangle_{A'} \otimes I_{AB} = \sigma_{z}^{A'} \otimes I_{AB}$
  4. Alice sends result to Bob
  5. Bob performs $\sigma_{0} = I$, or $\sigma_{1} = \sigma_{x}$ on his qubit to end up with state $a|00\rangle + b|11\rangle$ deterministically.
Maximally entangled states

- Solution & definition: state is maximally entangled iff it is pure and its subsystems are in total mixture state, i.e. $\text{Tr}_B \Psi_{AB} = \text{Tr}_A \Psi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} I$.

- LOCC transformations can transform maximally entangled state to arbitrary other state

- All maximally entangled states $\Psi, \Psi'$ are locally unitarily equivalent, i.e. $\Psi' = (U_A \otimes U_B) \Psi$, in fact $\Psi' = (U_A \otimes I) \Psi$

- Maximally entangled state cannot be prepared from any other state by means of LOCC operations, i.e. $\rho \not\rightarrow \Psi_+$

- If $\rho \rightarrow \Psi_+$, then $\rho$ is maximally entangled state.
Application: superdense coding

- situation: Alice and Bob share $|\Psi_+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$
- step 1 (encoding): apply operation $\sigma_j \otimes I_B$ on state $|\Psi_+\rangle$
- main trick: orthogonal basis related by local unitary transformations
  \[
  \langle (\sigma_k \otimes I_B)\Psi_+ | (\sigma_j \otimes I_B)\Psi_+ \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\sigma_j \sigma_k] = \delta_{jk}
  \]  
  \(4\)
- step 2 (qubit transfer): Alice sends her qubit to Bob
- step 3 (measurement): Bell measurement in basis $|(\sigma_j \otimes I)\Psi_+\rangle$ gives $j$
- usual magic note: qubit channel transfers 2 classical bits per one usage, but at most single bit can be extracted from single qubit alone [classical bound]
- transfer is secure, because the transmitted qubit does not contain any information
- 2cbits=qbit + EPR
Application: quantum teleportation

- not a matter transfer and not instantaneous = not StarTrek teleportation
- mathematics behind

\[
|\phi\rangle_S \otimes |\Psi_+\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\phi\rangle_S \otimes [|\uparrow\rangle_A |\uparrow\rangle_B + |\downarrow\rangle_A |\downarrow\rangle_B] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{3} (I_S \otimes \sigma_j) |\Psi_+\rangle_{SA} \otimes |\sigma_j \phi\rangle_B
\] (5)

- mutually orthogonal states $|(\sigma_j \otimes I) |\Psi_+\rangle$ forming Bell measurement
- step 1 (measurement): Alice measures outcome $j$ and Bob’s spin is in state $|\sigma_j \phi\rangle$
- step 2 (communication): transfer of 2 bits of information encoding the value $j$
- step 3 (correction): Bob applies $\sigma_j$ to recover the original state $|\phi\rangle_B$ ($\sigma_j^2 = I$)
- note: teleportation transfers “only” (quantum) information and it is not instantaneous
- qbit=2cbits+EPR
Entanglement theory

• decide (theoretically/experimentally) whether a given state is entangled, or not
• task: entanglement identification and quantification (entanglement measures)
• lacking of operational meaning of entanglement
• Bell inequality? → there are (mixed) entangled states with LHV models (Werner, 1982)
• teleportation? → existence of bound entangled states
• superdense coding? → entangled states with \( C_{\text{quantum}}(\varrho) \leq C_{\text{class}}^{\text{max}} \)
• correlations? → equivalent for pure states, but for mixed states the intrinsic quantum correlations (entanglement) cannot be separated from “classical” correlations
• nonlocality \( \not\equiv \) entanglement \( \not\equiv \) correlations
Concluding remarks

• state $\rho$ is entangled if and only if $\rho \neq \sum_j p_j |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j| \otimes |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j|$

• main concept: LOCC operations and LOCC-induced ordering

• nonlocality $\nLeftarrow$ entanglement $\nLeftarrow$ nonclassical correlations

• applications: teleportation, superdense coding, cryptography, q-computation

• entanglement is still not conceptually understood (lacking of operational definition)

• easy for pure states and two qubits

• multipartite entanglement (phase transitions, monogamy)
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Entanglement measures - axioms

1. Sharpness $E(\varrho) = 0$ iff $\varrho$ is not entangled
2. Local unitary invariance $E(\varrho) = E(U_1 \otimes U_2 \varrho U_1^\dagger U_2^\dagger)$
3. Nonincreasing under LOCC $E(\varrho) \geq \sum_j p_j E(\mathcal{M}_j[\varrho])$
4. Normalization $E(\varrho)$ is maximal only for maximally entangled states
5. Convexity $E(\varrho) \leq \sum_j p_j E(\varrho_j)$
6. Additivity $E(\varrho \otimes \sigma) = E(\varrho) + S(\sigma)$
7. Continuity